

2. Information Only-Chair's Report – (Cont'd)

In 2006, Professor Soukup was one of only six educators in the nation to receive Honorable Mention as ARI's Top Educators in the field and in 2005 he was a CCSN recipient of the NISOD Teaching Excellence Award. Professor Soukup wrote the curriculum for the commercial refrigeration courses at CCSN, founded a chapter of SkillsUSA and co-wrote the CCSD VoTech HVAC/R curriculum. Born in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Professor Soukup served in the United States Air Force before coming to Las Vegas and has been the owner and operator of Sunrise Refrigeration since 1982.

President Carpenter introduced Mr. Christopher Harrington, student, CCSN. Mr. Harrington returned to college at the age of 38 and has proven that a second chance is more than a meeting with chance. Majoring in Social Services, he has chosen to utilize all the resources of experience, dedication and integrity to make the most of his academic career. Mr. Harrington maintains a GPA of 3.77 and is involved in TRIO (a grantbased student support services program) He attended the Student Leadership Academy (a 13-hour certificate program) and currently works for the Salvation Army (as well as providing additional volunteer hours for them) A former drug user, Mr. Harrington has overcome many challenges in his life. He accredits his educational pursuits to his improved level of confidence. Mr. Harrington published a poem in 1991. He views success as the journey rather than the accomplishment and credits CCSN as a major portion of that journey.

3. Information Only-Oath of Office – The honorable Judge Karen Bennett-Haron administered the oath of office to the newly elected and re-elected Regents:

- ¾ Mr. Mark Alden
- ¾ Dr. Stavros S. Anthony
- ¾ Mr. Cedric Crear
- ¾ Mr. Ron Knecht
- ¾ Mr. Michael B. Wixom

The meeting recessed at 9:02 a.m. and reconvened at 1:25 p.m. on Thursday, January 25, 2007 with all members present.

4. Information Only-Public Comment – Chief Counsel Patterson reminded that under public comment, comments should be limited to organizations and not mention or engage in discussion regarding specific individuals who may not have been properly noticed. He also provided notice that the System's legal counsel was present to assist the Board and staff, and noted that while legal staff would not normally have communications with an individual who has a claim against the system and who is represented by counsel, such an individual would not be precluded from making voluntary statements under public comment on the public records.

Ms. Michelle Sanders, a UNLV employee, related her experience as a former employee of CCSN to the Board. Ms. Sanders stated that her primary concern is that CCSN does not honor their employment contracts, specifying that demotions and changes are made without proper notification. She stated that she has also experienced harassment and that her health has been adversely impacted by her experiences. Chair Whipple requested that Ms. Sanders leave her contact information with the Board's staff.

4. Information Only-Public Comment – (Cont'd.)

Dr. Jaleh Pourhamidi, faculty member, UNLV School of Dental Medicine, stated that she was very concerned about the orthodontics program. She expressed her concern and questioned the fairness of reassigning Dr. Lynn Hurst, former Associate Dean and Program Director, to a faculty position serving at the pleasure of the Dean. In her opinion, Dr. Lynn Hurst is a fantastic educator and leader. She indicated that the situation has shaken her belief in higher education She (e)]TJ 0 Tcirre leader.icbeader.rcrc leader.or

2. Chair's Report – (Cont'd.)

Executive Vice Chancellor Klaich reported that \$2 million has been recommended for DRI to study the State's water resources. This is not a System initiative, but came directly from the Governor's office and the System is pleased with the Governor's confidence in the System's institutions.

The iNtegrate project received a recommendation for \$10 million to begin the program.

Executive Vice Chancellor Klaich stated that \$10 million has been recommended for setting up a Georgia Plan. Although this is not an appropriation for NSHE, the System will benefit from the State's program to attract the best and brightest scholars and researchers to Nevada. The Governor has also recommended funding for the Millennium Scholarships and that he may be looking at ways to engineer the scholarship program to provide for critical workforce needs. The System will be working with the Governor to make sure the intent of the Millennium Scholarship stays intact.

Chair Whipple stated that Governor Gibbons has appropriated \$110 million for the Health Sciences System.

Regent Dondero requested that the Regents receive a copy of the report.

Regent Rosenberg congratulated Regent Gallagher for her efforts in support of the Georgia Plan.

Executive Vice Chancellor Klaich stated that the Governor did recommend \$110 million for the Health Sciences System which is extremely good news for a critical project; however, no other funding recommendations were made for the construction or planning of other capital projects. The System has recommendations in this executive budget for \$250 million in capital funding. It is important for each campus to have champions from their institutions serving in the Legislature. He would like to see more success in the construction or planning projects so there is not a four-year gap in funding the institutions.

Chair Whipple requested that the next meeting of the Board include an agenda item to discuss the lack of recommended capital projects in the Governor's budget.

Chair Whipple thanked the entire Board for their restraint and professionalism during recent weeks. He congratulated legal counsel, specifically Chief Counsel Bart Patterson and Special Counsel Brooke Nielsen, for their remarkable work during this difficult time. The Regents and Chancellor share a passion for higher education and agree that they need to work together. Chair Whipple stated that he has a high respect for the Chancellor's efforts and acknowledged that he has a difficult job.

Regent Sisolak thanked the parties involved for coming together for the good of the System.

Chair Whipple stated that agenda item #21 (Roles and Expectations of the Chancellor and the Board of Regents) will allow Board members to further discuss these events.

5. Information Only-Chancellor's Report - Chancellor James E. Rogers discussed policies on lobbying and interactions with legislators. He briefly discussed joint ventures between the NSHE and the Universidad Autónoma de Guadalajara and the University of Warwick. He provided an update on county and city government funding opportunities for NSHE institutions as well as reported on the need for future real property at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas and the opportunities for the County of Clark to aid in the resolution of that issue.

Chancellor Rogers indicated that the System's success in the past legislative session was due in a large part to presenting a united front. In conversations with the previous governor a potential budget of \$140 million for the Health Sciences System was initially discussed, with the System contributing an additional 30%. Eventually \$110 million was recommended.

Chancellor Rogers related that the System Presidents have expressed grave concern about the level of formula funding. Discussions with the previous governor indicated that approximately \$30 million would be recommended. Governor Gibbons actually increased that recommendation to \$34.5 million. Chancellor Rogers stated Governor Gibbons' support of higher education has been very consistent over the course of their conversations.

Chancellor Rogers noted that Governor Gibbons has indicated he will not change the former governor's recommendations for the System. It is important that the System consider new funding methods. One topic of discussion is for the State to return a portion of tuition increases to the incre4 (e)4 (l)-2 Tw [(r)3 (a)6 (t th)2 (e)]TJ 0 Tc 0ururn a pœ7()Tj EMC

5. Information Only-Chancellor's Report – (Cont'd.)

approximately \$97 million and the Greenspun family is contributing \$34 million. It is important to acknowledge that the Greenspun family's contribution is coming in one payment, for one project and from one family.

Chancellor Rogers stated that he is dismayed with the lack of endowed chairs at the

(2) Approved-Continuation of PERS Retirees, UNLV – (Cont'd.)

In the case of a critical labor shortage, the Board of Regents has been granted the authority to approve such hires due to a failed search or shortage in the labor market. The law also states that every two years, the Board must recertify that these positions still meet the criteria of critical need.

Regent Sisolak asked how a critical need can be determined when a search is not being conducted. Ms. Henson replied that the qualifications for these positions are so unique that the same failed search results would recur. Regent Sisolak questioned whether there is still a critical need to rehire these individuals if the System is no longer searching.

Chief Counsel Patterson stated that the legal issue is not a matter of conducting a search but rather the evaluation of the criteria.

Chair Whipple asked President Ashley to address these concerns and prepare a report to the Board at the next meeting. President Ashley clarified that at issue is the process and not the individuals. He indicated that Ms. Henson is the appropriate person to define the appropriate process for hiring retirees. Chair Whipple asked Executive Vice Chancellor Klaich to bring the process of determining critical need and the rehiring of PERS retirees to the March meeting.

In answer to Regent Crear's questions, Ms. Henson clarified that these individuals were retired but were rehired in their positions and would continue to collect their retirement. Regent Crear questioned why a search would need to be conducted if these employees had previously been approved and were performing their jobs well. Regent Rosenberg clarified that the employees are receiving their retirement benefits at the same time they are receiving a salary.

Ms. Henson asked the Board to approval these individuals at this time, adding that she will return with policy recommendations at a later date.

Regent Sisolak stated that the Board only had the authority to hire these people because it had agreed there was a critical need and he did not want to abuse the policy.

Ms. Neuman indicated that the policies need to address a situation when a retiree is repeatedly rehired due to unsuccessful searches.

Regent Knecht moved approval of the PERS rehires with the understanding that Executive Vice Chancellor Klaich will address the generic issue of continuing searches and the determining of a critical need. Regent Geddes seconded. Motion carried.

(3) Approved-Continuation of PERS Retirees, UNLV – The Board approved UNLV President David B. Ashley's request to reaffirm Board action taken in August 2004, which would continue the employment status of two PERS retirees: Michael Robison and Pamela Salazar. The two individuals work in the Department of Educational

(3) Approved-Continuation of PERS Retirees, UNLV – (Cont'd)

Leadership at UNLV and are instrumental in the implementation of PK-12 Leadership graduate programs (Ref. G3 on file in the Board office)

Regent Knecht moved approval of the PERS rehires with the understanding that Executive Vice Chancellor Klaich will address the generic issue of continuing searches and the determining of a

(5) Approved-Employment Extension, Supplemental Stipend, UNLV – (Cont'd.)

Regent Rosenberg moved approval of tabling the matter until such time background information, including a signed contract, could be provided and reviewed by the Board. Regent Knecht seconded.

Chief Counsel Patterson suggested that in an effort not to cut off debate, Regent Rosenberg change his motion to “postpone” rather than “table.”

Regent Rosenberg withdrew his motion.

Chancellor Rogers explained that the donor had contacted him and offered to supplement Ms. Becker’s salary with a donation of \$150,000. Chancellor Rogers accepted the offer and signed a contract before he realized that this would have to be approved by the Board due to the term of employment. While he understands that the faculty feel they receive far less than they deserve and that they are not treated fairly, he does not object to donors supporting specific individuals in certain programs.

Regent Sisolak echoed Regent Rosenberg’s concerns and asked whether a donor could endow a specific individual versus a position. Chancellor Rogers replied that he is aware of positions at the law school that he personally supplements. Regent Sisolak asked if a position could be supplemented for the purpose of funding a specific individual.

(5) Approved-Employment Extension, Supplemental Stipend, UNLV – (Cont'd.)

Regent Sisolak observed the difference between a donor providing additional compensation directly to a faculty versus donating it to a foundation and the resulting tax liabilities. Chancellor Rogers indicated that donations made to a foundation with no limitations are not taxable and he felt that most donations are not made in consideration of a resulting tax deduction.

Regent Rosenberg said the Board could not afford to lure donors at the expense of its integrity. He felt it was not fair to other professors working for their increases in the normal fashion. He asked about consequences once the donors are no longer living and whether the System would take over such payments. He asked who had decided this increase was essential and whether consideration had gone through a faculty committee. He felt it was a substantial augmentation of salary at almost double the base salary and questioned whether the increased benefits are taken from the stipend.

To answer Regent Rosenberg's question, Ms. Henson reported that additional presidential stipends include the payment of benefits at no additional cost to the State. Chancellor Rogers said he would research the matter further to arrive at a solution.

In answer to Regent Crear's questions, Chancellor Rogers indicated that the additional \$18,000 in benefits was due to the salary increase. Regent Crear asked whether this was similar to a grant. Chancellor Rogers replied it was not, but rather payment for services rendered which is taxable. Regent Crear asked if a salary could be paid from a grant. Chancellor Rogers replied the salary would still be taxable. President Glick stated that one cannot be paid more than the base salary with any grant, including federal grants.

Chancellor Rogers observed there were two issues: the specifics of the contract and the State being subjected to increased benefit payments, which he had not realized at the time of signing of the contract. He cautioned the Board not to take action that could place restrictions on donors.

Chair Whipple R. hT(.)-d7 >>BDC -1.1ihe8 la6Tc2 (tl2 -)4oiJ g Tc 0 l(e)4-5 (o07ePd.36 0610 Tw e061

(8) Approved-Capital Improvement Fee Request, WNCC – (Cont'd.)

Regent Sisolak moved approval of the CIP expenditure request for WNCC. Regent Knecht seconded. Motion carried. Regent Alden was absent.

(9) Approved-Capital Improvement Fee Request, WNCC – The Board approved WNCC President Carol A. Lucey's request for the use of an additional \$14,606.25 in Capital Improvement Fee funds to remodel space currently utilized by the Sedway Café (Sedway Café Grease Interceptor Design and Installation Update) (Ref. G9 on file in the Board office)

Regent Sisolak moved approval of the CIP expenditure request for WNCC. Regent Geddes seconded. Motion carried. Regent Alden was absent.

(10) Approved-Handbook Revision, ACT Proficiency Examination Program – The Board approved Vice Chancellor Jane Nichols' request for a revision to the provisions of Board policy governing credit by examinations (Title 4, Chapter 14, Section 19; Chapter 16, Sections 14 and A.9) correcting references to the ACT Proficiency Examination Program, which is now administered through Excelsior College (Ref. G10 on file in the Board office)(11) Approved-Handbook Revision, State College Revisions – The Board approved Vice Chancellor Jane Nichols' request for an amendment proposal concerning the state college specific sections (Title 4, Chapter 16) that address student admission, registration, grades and examinations, which will eliminate the institution specific sections of Chapter 16 and replace them with provisions that are applicable to the state college in general. This is the second in a series of Chapter 16 revisions. At the June 2006 Board meeting, members approved similar revisions to the community college sections and the university section revisions were approved in October 2006 (Ref. G11 on file in the Board office)(12) Approved-Handbook Revision, Bylaws, UNR – The Board approved UNR President Milton D. Glick's request for amendments to the University of Nevada, Reno bylaws (Title 5, Chapter 7) (Ref. G12 on file in the Board office)(13) Approved-Procedures & Guidelines Manual Summer 2007 Salary Schedule, UNLV – The Board approved UNLV President David B. Ashley's request, in accordance with Title 4, Chapter 3, Section 33, of the Summer Salary Schedule for the University of Nevada, Las Vegas for the 2007 Summer Session. These revisions will be reflected in the Procedures & Guidelines Manual (Chapter 3, Section 33) (Ref. G13 on file in the Board office)

Regent Anthony moved approval of Consent Agenda items #10 (Handbook Revision, ACT Proficiency Examination Program), #11 (Handbook

- (13) Approved-Procedures & Guidelines Manual Summer 2007 Salary Schedule, UNLV – (Cont'd.)

Regent Geddes seconded. Motion carried. Regent Alden was absent.

- (5) Approved-Employment Extension, Supplemental Stipend, UNLV

B

11. Information Only-Handbook Revision, Distinguished Nevadan Award – Pursuant to a request from the Board Chair, the matter of clarifying and formalizing the method in which Regents nominate persons for the Distinguished Nevadan award was referred to the Board Development Committee (Title 4, Chapter 1, Section 144 Ref.D on file in the Board office).

Chair Whipple indicated that he had requested potential policies formalizing nominations for the Distinguished Nevadan award be brought before the Board.

Mr. Wasserman presented two options formalizing the nomination process so each year it would be clear which Regents can submit nominations. He stated that he had attempted to carry on the tradition for entertaining nominations from the north, south and rural areas each year.

Option 1 would allow in odd numbered years, seven Regents representing Districts 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11 and 13 to provide nominations. In even numbered years, six Regents representing Districts 1, 2, 7, 8, 10 and 12 would provide nominations. These nominations would be made during the first semester of the academic year, between September 1 and December 31st. The actual award would be approved by the Board at the March meeting.

Option 2 breaks it into three years. During calendar year 2007 and every third year thereafter Regents representing Districts 3, 4, 5, 8 and 9 would provide nominations. During calendar year 2008 and every third year thereafter Regents representing Districts 6, 11, 12 and 13 would provide nominations. During calendar year 2009 and every third year thereafter Regents representing Districts 1, 2, 7 and 10 would provide nominations.

Regent Rosenberg established that the process would begin the following year. Mr. Wasserman agreed, noting they had already received nominations from 2006 to be approved in March 2007.

Regent Gallagher noted that the open meeting law requires notification be provided to every nominee, which can result in an embarrassing situation when a nominee fails to be selected. She asked whether a specific number was under consideration, feeling that the award should not be devalued by over nominating. She felt the second alternative was better because fewer individuals were nominated per year.

Mr. Wasserman established that current policy provided for the nomination of five individuals per year.

Regent Knecht agreed with Regent Gallagher and also favored the second option.

Regent Sisolak felt the award was special regardless of the method of nomination, noting there was no other award that Regents can bestow. He proposed an interim step (e.g., a proclamation) to fill the gap. Chair Whipple asked whether Regent Sisolak favored Option 2 and referring the matter of other awards to the Board Development Committee or allowing the Committee to address all of the matters simultaneously.

14. Information Only-Naming of Community Colleges - (Cont'd.)

President Killpatrick explained that although GBC offers a four-year degree, he still needs to explain that they are a community college. He stated that he feels that it is not important what you are named, but rather what you answer to. If the community college changes its name, the college will still have to explain what type of college it is. He added that community colleges are unique, and he would hate to lose their mission in response to a fad.

President Carpenter stated that when Mr. Conkle requested his support for the name change, hal3 Tc 0[.661 (.)2 ()]TJ -0.004 Tc 0.-Mr. Cp [(a)4 f1/Attac (eel)]TJ,02 Tc -0.03 (m)-20 colleg
res to a fad. -5o -

16. Approved-Handbook Revision, Millennium Scholarship Core Curriculum – (Cont'd.)

The bill draft was originally proposed by the State Treasurer's Office and includes transference of the investments and the staff to properly administer the program.

Regent Dondero stated that school counselors need to be more involved in providing accurate advice to the students regarding this program. Vice Chancellor Nichols indicated that at the March meeting she is planning to present information on a new on-line program for ninth graders that will incorporate this information. It is critical that the information get to the students and their families.

Regent Alden moved approval of the Handbook revision concerning revisions to the Millennium Scholarship core curriculum. Regent Geddes seconded.

Regent Crear asked about the consequences for those students who are unable to access the fourth year of math. Vice Chancellor Nichols replied that students would have to apply for a waiver and the school districts must provide verification that the students were unable to access the fourth year of math.

Motion carried. Regent Anthony was absent.

17. Approved-Procedures & Guidelines Manual Upper Division Fee Distribution, WNCC –

The Board approved WNCC President Carol A. Lucey's request for the fee distribution schedule for upper division course fees beginning with fall semester 2007. These revisions will be reflected in the Procedures & Guidelines Manual (Chapter 7, Section 1) (Ref. E on file in the Board office)

18. Information Only – iNtegrate Project Update - (Cont'd.)

4. Executive Vice Chancellor Klaich will work with the Counsel of Presidents to develop a governance model for the on-going year-to-year implementation of the project.
5. Interim Vice Chancellor McCollum will develop a job description and reporting model for a project director.

In answer to Chair Whipple's question, Regent Wixom stated that the two consultants, Phil Goldstein and Charlie Moran, have been

19 Information Only-Health Sciences System Update – (Cont'd.)

and Mr. Greg Hart, Consultant, Larson-Allen. Regent Dondero has recently joined the Committee.

Mr. Greg Hart, Consultant, Larsen-Allen, discussed the role of the System in the development of a Health Sciences System. A HSS is an integrated set of health professional education and biomedical research programs, aligned with supportive patient care programs and facilities, the core of which is full-time faculty. Partnerships are a critical component. There is a great opportunity and need for UNHSS to collaborate with other resources in Nevada in order to most effectively meet Nevada's needs (seeslide presentation UNHSS Board of Regents Briefing, January 26, 2007 on file in the Board office)

Nevada will benefit from the UNHSS:

- ¾ Growth in physician and other health professional workforce, resulting in increased access to care.
- ¾ Improved Nevada population and community health outcomes; a resource for health policy.
- ¾ Increased biomedical research, contributing to Nevada's economic development.
- ¾ Enhanced access to research driven, evidence-based care and disease prevention.
- ¾ Improved ability to meet the needs of a rapidly growing population with aging demographics.
- ¾ Enhanced state reputation and pride.

Regent Geddes asked Mr. Hart if he could explain how Nevada ranks 31st in pharmacists, yet we do not have a pharmaceutical program. Mr. Hart replied that the ranking is partially due to the importation of pharmacists over time. Regent Sisolak responded that Merck Medco, one of the largest mail-order pharmaceutical services in the country has a distribution center in Henderson.

Regent Rosenberg complimented Mr. Hart on providing a clear explanation of the project's purpose.

Interim Vice Chancellor Turner related that the former governor's task force is in the process of transferring over to Governor Gibbon's administration, adding that Senator Hecht has been the priowiet o02il

19. Information Only-Health Sciences System Update – (Cont'd.)

UNHSS 2007 CIP Request Summary:

Location	State Funds 70%	Match 30%	Total
Reno (1/3)	\$37 m	\$16 m	\$53 m
Las Vegas (2/3)	\$73 m	\$31 m	\$104 m
Total Project Budget	\$110 m	\$47 m	\$157 m

Interim Vice Chancellor Turner stated that to prepare for the increase in class sizes on both the UNR and UNLV campuses, existing facilities will be renovated and new buildings will be constructed.

UNHSS Other Key Activities:

- ¾ Board of Regents Health Sciences System Committee.
- ¾ Interdisciplinary deans and program directors working group research initiative development, program planning and development and collaboration.
- ¾ Graduate medical education collaborative development planning committee collaborating with five hospitals and Touro to plan GME expansion.
- ¾ Development of existing and identification of new partnerships – Lou Ruvo Brain Institute, Nevada Cancer Institute, City of Las Vegas and others.
- ¾ Governmental relations – local, state and federal.
- ¾ Community outreach – speakers' bureau, stakeholder outreach, etc.

Regent Crear asked, outside of solidifying the funds allotted in the governor's budget, are there any other agendas to be accomplished in the legislature. Interim Vice Chancellor Turner replied that they will be working to advocate for opportunities for accommodation of the requests for operational expenses, the health care community has expressed support for the nursing program expansion, and staff will monitor other bills that might come up, for example, bills concerning licensure.

Chair Whipple asked whether the NSHE has a member on the methamphetamine task force. Interim Vice Chancellor Turner replied they did not, though she was attempting to get one appointed. Chair Whipple established that the Center for Health Aging would be located downtown and asked about new construction for the UNLV School of Nursing. Interim Vice Chancellor Turner replied these projects were all part of the \$157 million total request. Of the three buildings in Las Vegas, one is a renovation of existing space (Building B) and two are new projects.

Chancellor Rogers observed that the County also has land adjacent to this project that could potentially be available for future expansion.

Regent Gallagher commended the presentation.

Regent Sisolak asked about the revenue stream to pay for the Shadow Lane facility. Mr. Gerry Bomotti, Vice President, Finance-UNLV, replied that multiple sources were identified. The debt payment is approximately two-thirds from student fees and one-third from medical revenues, and minor contributions from direct cash and federal grants. Regent Sisolak asked why only UNLV students are paying these fees. Mr. Bomotti stated

20. Accepted Report-NSHE Blueprint for the Future – (Cont'd.)

Interim Vice Chancellor McCollum stated that strategic planning indicates strategic initiatives for a competitive advantage, adding that perhaps the term master plan should be changed to strategic plan.

Motion carried. Regent Anthony was absent.

The meeting recessed at 12:25 p.m. and reconvened at 12:59 p.m. on Friday, January 26, 2007 with all members present except Regent Anthony.

21. Information Only-

21. Information Only-Roles and Expectations of the Chancellor and the Board of Regents – (Cont'd.)
Regent Sisolak acknowledged Regent Wixom for his efforts to help resolve the matter. He observed that Regent Leavitt and Chancellor Rogers have put the interests of the

22. Approved-Educational Partnership Agreements, CCSN – (Cont'd.)

If the College can generate revenues that can be applied to the campus and students, it's a win-win situation. The faculty student exchange component is common among other colleges and provides exposure to different cultures.

In response to Regent Wixom's questions, President Carpenter indicated that the industries being supported are ones with which there is already an existing relationship.

Regent Alden stated that he is in support of this program because it furthers CCSN's academic reputation; however, initial costs should be covered by the program's partners and not from state or public funds.

Regent Schofield stated that the System is fortunate to have the opportunity to partner with institutions in China.

Regent Knecht left the meeting.

Chair Whipple requested that, due to [(w)4 (ith)20967eq

26. Approved-Audit Committee Recommendations – Regent Dorothy S. Gallagher reported the Audit Committee met January 25, 2007 and received follow-up responses for two audit reports that were presented at the June 2006 meeting. Dr. Mike Reed, Vice Chancellor for Finance, reported on the status of the fiscal analysis of intercollegiate athletic programs at UNLV, UNR, WNCC and CCSN. The report will be presented at the March meeting.

The Committee requested the following items be placed on the next Audit Committee agenda: T

27. Approved-Budget & Finance Committee Recommendations - Chair Mark Alden reported the Budget & Finance Committee met January 25, 2007 and heard the following reports:
- ¾ NSHE Student Government Association reports of revenues and expenditures for fiscal year 2005-06.
 - ¾ An update of the first quarter fiscal exception report for the School of Medicine.
 - ¾ Self-supporting budget revisions for the second quarter of fiscal year 2006-07.
 - ¾ State supported operating budget transfers for the second quarter of fiscal year 2006-07.
 - ¾ Discussion of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) statement 45 on the costs and financial obligations that governments incur when they provide post-employment benefits other than pensions.

Regent Alden requested Board action on the following Committee recommendations:

- ¾ Minutes – The Committee recommended approval of the minutes from the November 30, 2006 Committee meeting (Ref. BF1 on file in the Board office)
- ¾ NSC Student Registration Fee Distribution FY 2007 Through FY 2009 - The Committee recommended approval of the distribution of the approved registration fees for graduate students at Nevada State College for academic years 2006-07 through 2008-09 (Ref. BF3 on file in the Board office)
- ¾ Mid-Year Fiscal Year 2006-07 Self-Supporting Budgets, Calendar Year 2007 Summer School Budgets and Calendar Year 2006 Summer School Budget to Actual Comparison – The Committee recommended approval of the NSHE mid-year fiscal year 2006-07 self-supporting budgets, the NSHE summer school budgets for calendar year 2007 and the NSHE summer school budget to actual comparison for calendar year 2006 (Bound Report on file in the Board office)

Regent Alden moved approval of the Committee recommendations and acceptance of the report.

Regent Crear seconded. Motion carried. Regents e (y)6(ce f)3 002

28. Approved-Cultural Diversity and Security Committee Recommendation – (Cont'd.)

Chief Counsel Bart Patterson provided information on institutional practices related to background checks for childcare workers and potential policy. The Committee directed Mr. Patterson to draft policy language creating a consistent System-wide policy regarding the procedures that all institutions must follow in conducting background checks of childcare workers. Proposed policy language will be considered at the March 2007 meeting.

Regent Dondero requested Board action on the following Committee recommendation:

- ¾ Minutes – The Committee recommended approval of the minutes from the November 30, 2006 Committee meeting (Ref. CDS1 on file in the Board office)

e d n o (2 E j T) (u Regent Dondero) moved approval (w)2 (2 ([aw)2(2D [(w)2 (2 ([(1

30. Approved-Technology Committee Recommendations - Chair Michael B. Wixom

30. Approved-

31. Approved-Research and Economic Development Committee Recommendation – (Cont'd.)

The Committee received presentations from Dr. Oliver Hemmers, Director, Office of Strategic Energy Programs-UNLV, and Dr. Kent Hoekman, Executive Director, Division of Atmospheric Sciences-DRI, regarding their respective institution's research and

32. Approved-Board Development Committee Recommendation – (Cont'd.)

Regent Rosenberg moved approval of the Committee recommendations and acceptance of the report. Regent Leavitt seconded. Motion carried. Regents Anthony, Gallagher, Sisolak and Wixom were absent.

33. Approved-Investment Committee Recommendations - Chair Thalia M. Dondero reported the Investment Committee met January 23, 2007 and discussed the following:

- ¾ Site authority model for campus development at NSC.
- ¾ Update on Moss Adams audit.
- ¾ Review of property inventory for CCSN and WNCC.
- ¾ National Council for Juvenile and Family Court Judges' building expansion at UNR.
- ¾ Establishment of the 2007 Investment Committee meeting dates.

Regent Dondero requested Board action on the following Committee recommendations:

- ¾ Minutes – The Committee recommended approval of the minutes from the November 21, 2006 Committee meeting (Ref. INV1 on file in the Board office)
- ¾ Endowment Custodian Selection – The Committee recommended approval of the appointment of an endowment custodian (Ref. INV2 on file in the Board office)
- ¾ Apartment Complex, CCSN - The Committee recommended approval of the construction of an apartment complex with approximately 361 units on 20 acres of the CCSN Henderson campus. The apartment complex will be created through a public-private project between CCSN and The Molasky Group (Ref. INV3 on file in the Board office)
- ¾ Grant of Easement, CCSN - The Committee recommended approval of a utility easement

33. Approved-Investment Committee Recommendations – (Cont'd.)

- ¾ Acquisition of Real Property, UNR – The Committee recommended approval of the purchase of real property (a triplex) located at 160, 170 and 172 East 9th Street, Reno, Nevada at the appraised value of \$450,000 (Ref. INV8 on file in the Board office).
- ¾ Conveyance of Land, NSC – The Committee recommended approval of the acceptance of land for the construction of the Liberal Arts and Sciences Building at Nevada State College's main campus from the City of Henderson (Ref. INV9 on file in the Board office).

Regent Wixom entered the meeting.

Chief Counsel Patterson clarified that the Committee moved to accept the CCSN apartment complex with the caveat that the final documentation would be approved in final form by the Committee and would not come back to the Board.

Regent Dondero moved approval of the Committee recommendations and acceptance of the report.
Regent Leavitt seconded. Motion carried. Regents Anthony, Gallagher and Sisolak were absent.

34. Information Only-New Business – Mr. Wasserman announced that in March the Board will be asked to consider moving the November meeting later in December. Regent Rosenberg indicated that the last meeting room at the UNLV campus was not large enough and requested that the Board meeting be held in the Foundation or Tam Alumni Center.

Chair Whipple shared Regent Sisolak's concern regarding the cost and waste of paper involved with creating the Board agenda and meeting packet. He asked that an item be placed on the March agenda to consider a paperless system.

Mr. Jim Curtis, Cultural Diversity Consultant, State Board of Education, alerted the Board about the reauthorization of the Higher Education Act in the next legislative session. The House portion of this bill reauthorizes the TRIO and GearUp programs and proposes tripling the amount of student loan forgiveness to highly qualified math and science education teachers. The Senate portion of this bill creates a provision grant assistance program, a temporary program that provides \$5.5 billion dollars over five years in grant aid for the neediest students. His office is authorized to render technical assistance and advisement regarding these initiatives and he looks forward to working with Board when this act is reauthorized.

Chair Whipple thanked President Carpenter and the staff of CCSN for hosting the meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 2:47 p.m.

Scott G. Wasserman
Chief Executive Officer of the Board

Approved by the Board of Regents at the Jun~~22~~21, 2007, meeting