SPECIAL MEETING VIDEOCONFERENCE BOARD OF REGENTS

NEVADA SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION

System Administration, Las Vegas

5550 West Flamingo Road, Suite C-1, Conference Room

System Administration, Reno

2601 Enterprise Road, Conference Room

Great Basin College, Elko

1500 College Parkway, Berg Hall Conference Room

Wednesday, May 2, 2007

Members Present	Mr. Bret Whipple, Chair Dr. Jack Lund Schofield, Vice Chair Mr. Mark Alden Mr. Cedric Crear Dr. Thalia M. Dondeo Mrs. Dorothy S. Gallagher Dr. Jason Geddes Mr. Ron Knecht Mr. James Dean Leavitt	{Las Vegas} {Las Vegas} {Las Vegas} {Las Vegas} {Las Vegas} {Rem} {Rend} {Las Vegas} {Las Vegas}
	Mr. Howard Rosenberg Mr. Steve Sisolak	{Reno} {Las Vegas}

Members Absent: Dr. Stavos S. Anthony

Others Present: Chancellor James E. Rogers {Las Vegas}

Mr. Michael B. Wixom

{Las Vegas}

{Las Vegas}

Chief Counsel Bart Patterson {Las Vegas}
President Richard Carpenter, CCSN {Las Vegas}
President Paul T. Killpatrick, GBC {Elko}

1. Information OnlyNew Business

2. ApprovedReport on 2007 Session of the Nevada Legislaturcent'd.)

A discussion ensued regarding the specific priorities totaling \$37 million:

- > Formula funding -\$16.3 million.
- > Maintain current servicelevels -\$18 million
- Critical administrative positions at DR\$1.752 million.
- > Transfer of Nye Countyesvice to GBC \$426,000.

Regent Knecht established that these figures were based upon 2006 projections and 2007 actual enrollments. Executive Vice Chancellor Klaich agreed, adding that it equated to a hole in the institutions' budgets. Regent Knecht objected to characterizing the "hold harmless" money as current service levels because he felt it equated to claiming that with a reduction in student population there are zero net savings or cost reductions. He did not believe that was so and felt it could be used to the System's detriment when student populations increase. He viewed it as a way of allowing the institutions and the System to respond on a measured basis, but it was not a matter of maintaining current service levels. Executive Vice Chancellor Klaich disagreed and explained that the wind with the wolatility in enrollment in this biennium is based on a number of policy decisions jointly made by the legislature, the Board of Regents and the executive branch that have had predictable sults on student enrollment, increased quality, increase selectivity, increased efficiency increased entranceand millennium core requirements) He felt the state had an obligation to protect against such an impact. He foresaw a vicious downward spiral in the services the institutions will be able to provide.

Regent Knecht said he was concerned that this does not occur when the situation is the derse 0.84 for 0.94 EMC /P <<[(2 (I)-14 (e)-6 (w)2e e)4 (dt)2 (hi)-0 Tw 0.002 Tw [(s)1 (p)2

2. ApprovedReport on 2007 Session of the Nevada Legislatucentd.)

Klaich agreed, adding that the institutions cannot turn on a tomeduce their budges by 10% without severe financial exigencies

Regent Wixom stated that although he agrees conceptually with Regent Knecht, the bottom line is that, over the shoterm, the inherent cost of delivery will not decrease even if student enrollments decline. Unless the System and the legislaturen the funding formula, a situation remains that prevents funding of the cost of delivering the services

President Carenterstated that there is a classic misunderstandi

2. Approved-

2. ApprovedReport on 2007 Session of the Nevada Legislatucent'd.)

Board's previous motion. Executive Vice Chancellor Klaich assured Regent Leavitt that it would be helpful to reaffirm the Boar

Regent Leavitt reported that this Thursday